

MARK B. HANSON, ESQ.
Second Floor, Macaranas Building
Beach Road, Garapan
PMB 738, P.O. Box 10,000
Saipan, Mariana Islands 96950
Telephone: (670) 233-8600
Facsimile: (670) 233-5262
E-mail: mark@saipanlaw.com

BRUCE BERLINE, ESQ.
Second Floor, Macaranas Building
P.O. Box 5682 CHRB
Beach Road, Garapan
Saipan, Mariana Islands 96950
Telephone: (670) 233-3663
Facsimile: (670) 233-5262
E-mail: bruce@saipanlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

YU XUAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOO YEON CORPORATION and SE YOUNG
CORPORATION, Commonwealth of the Northern
Mariana Islands corporations, YANG-TACK
HWANG and YOUNG-SIN PARK, individuals
residing in the Commonwealth of the Northern
Mariana Islands,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 12-0032

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR
VIOLATIONS OF THE
FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
AND
SUPPLEMENTAL STATE LAW CLAIMS

COMES NOW, YU XUAN with the following Verified Complaint against JOO YEON
CORPORATION, SE YOUNG CORPORATION, YANG-TACK HWANG and YOUNG-SIN PARK:

JURISDICTION

1. The Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* (“FLSA”), applies to this matter through the Covenant to Establish a Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in Political Union with the United States of America, Article V, §502(a)(2).

2. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction), 28 U.S.C. § 1337(a) (proceedings arising under any Act of Congress regulating commerce).

3. This Court also has jurisdiction under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to adjudicate Plaintiff’s claims.

4. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s non-FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) (supplemental jurisdiction).

5. Venue is properly placed in this Court as at all relevant time Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants doing business in Saipan, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Yu Xuan (“Ms. XUAN” or “Plaintiff”) is a citizen of the Peoples Republic of China currently residing in Saipan, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

7. Defendant JOO YEON CORPORATION (“JYC”) is a corporation formed under the laws of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (“CNMI”) with its principal place of business in Saipan, CNMI. JYC’s alleged sole shareholder resides off-island and is the brother of Defendant YOUNG-SIN PARK. JYC’s board of directors and officers are the brothers and a friend of Defendant YOUNG-SIN PARK.

8. Defendant SE YOUNG CORPORATION (“SYC”) is a corporation formed under the laws of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (“CNMI”) with its principal place of business in Saipan, CNMI. SYC’s alleged sole shareholder resides off-island and is the same brother of Defendant YOUNG-SIN PARK that is the alleged sole shareholder of JYC. SYC’s board of directors

1 and officers are also the brothers and a friend of Defendant YOUNG-SIN PARK.

2 9. Defendant YANG-TACK HWANG (“HWANG”) is an individual, a citizen of the
3 Republic of Korea and a resident of Saipan, CNMI.

4 10. Defendant YOUNG-SIN PARK is an individual, a citizen of the Republic of Korea and
5 a resident of Saipan, CNMI.

6 11. Upon information and belief, Defendants HWANG and PARK are husband and wife
7 and/or are living together in Saipan as husband and wife.

8 12. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to Plaintiff’s claims herein, HWANG
9 and PARK were and are the *de facto* owners and controlled and operated JYC and SYC and continue
10 to control and operate JYC and SYC as their own companies, not as corporate entities.

11 13. JYC and SYC are sham corporations and the alter egos of HWANG and PARK. The sole
12 shareholder, directors and officers of JYC and SYC hold their “ownership” and titles in name only.
13 None of the so-called owners, directors or officers contributed any capital to the corporations nor
14 does any said individual participate in any material way in the ownership, control and/or operation
15 of either JYC or SYC.

16 14. At all times relevant to Plaintiff’s claims herein, the above-named DEFENDANTS, and
17 all of them, were joint employers of Ms. XUAN as that term is used in and has been interpreted
18 under the FLSA and the Commonwealth Minimum Wage and Hour Act, 4 C.M.C. §§ 9211 *et seq.*
19 (2000) (“MWhA”).

20 15. At all times relevant to Plaintiff’s claims herein, each of the above-named
21 DEFENDANTS, through the joint ownership, control and/or operation by HWANG and PARK,
22 constituted one joint unified business enterprise.

23 16. At all times relevant to Plaintiff’s claims herein, HWANG and PARK were acting directly
24 and indirectly in the interest of JYC and SYC in relation to Ms. XUAN.
25
26
27

1 17. At all times relevant to Plaintiff's claims herein, the DEFENDANTS and, each of them,
2 were joint employers of Ms. XUAN as that term is used in and has been interpreted under the FLSA.

3 18. At all times relevant to Plaintiff's claims herein, DEFENDANTS, through joint
4 ownership, control and operation, constituted one joint unified business enterprise.

5 19. Unless otherwise noted, all references to DEFENDANTS herein are references to all
6 above-named defendants, jointly and severally, regardless of their trade names ("DEFENDANTS").

7 20. It is expressly alleged herein that DEFENDANTS are all jointly and severally liable for
8 Plaintiff's claims, and all of them, herein.

9
10 **FACTS**

11 21. Ms. XUAN began her employment with DEFENDANTS in or about June 2008.

12 22. In November 2008, Ms. XUAN entered into an employment contract with
13 DEFENDANTS which was approved by the Director of Labor, Department of Labor,
14 Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands on November 20, 2008 with an expiration date of
15 November 20, 2009 (the "Contract").

16 23. The Contract provided that, among other things, Ms. XUAN would be employed as a
17 "tour counselor," that she would work a flexible schedule, and that she would be paid wages of
18 \$4.05 per hour plus an overtime premium of 1.5 times that hourly rate.

19 24. The Contract wage rate and overtime premium based thereon were subject to an increase
20 based on any increase of the minimum wage rate provided by applicable law during the term of the
21 Contract.

22 25. On May 26, 2009, the applicable legal minimum wage to which the Contract was subject
23 increased to \$4.55 per hour plus an overtime premium of 1.5 times that hourly rate.

24 26. The Contract was executed by HWANG as "Manager" for JYC.

25 27. On November 5, 2009, Ms. XUAN's Contract with DEFENDANTS was renewed by
26 DEFENDANTS for a term to end on December 20, 2012. The Contract was renewed on the same
27

1 terms and conditions as the original Contract except that the renewal reflected the May 26, 2009
2 increase in the minimum wage.

3 28. Again, the renewed Contract was executed by HWANG on behalf of JYC.

4 29. On September 30, 2010, the applicable legal minimum wage to which the renewed
5 Contract was subject increased to \$5.05 per hour plus an overtime premium of 1.5 times that hourly
6 rate.

7 30. The Contract, as renewed, by and between Ms. XUAN and DEFENDANTS collectively
8 comprise the "Employment Contract" as the term relates to Plaintiff's Complaint and as the term
9 is used herein.

10 31. In 2011, JYC filed an I-129CW Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker on behalf of Ms.
11 XUAN during the initial phase of the "transition period" under Title VII of the Consolidated Natural
12 Resources Act of 2009, Public Law 110-229, codified at 48 U.S.C. § 1806.

13 32. Pursuant to applicable law, Ms. XUAN was allowed to continue working for
14 DEFENDANTS pending the approval of the I-129CW Petition and for a period of one year
15 thereafter.

16 33. JYC's Petition for Ms. XUAN was approved on June 23, 2012 for a term of employment
17 with JYC to expire on June 22, 2013.

18 34. On October 15, 2012, without explanation, HWANG terminated Ms. XUAN's
19 employment with DEFENDANTS.

20 35. From June 2008 through her termination of employment on October 15, 2012, Ms.
21 XUAN was employed by DEFENDANTS as a tour coordinator and van driver in DEFENDANTS'
22 tour business in Saipan. Ms. XUAN's duties included, among other duties, picking up and dropping
23 off tour groups from South Korea at the airport when they arrived and departed Saipan, escorting
24 multiple groups of foreign tourists on multi-day, coordinated tours of Saipan, and driving tourists to
25 and from various activities including recreational water sports, meals and entertainment, shopping
26
27

1 and other tourist related activities in Saipan.

2 36. At all times relevant to this action, Ms. XUAN was an employee of DEFENDANTS within
3 the meaning of § 203(e)(1) of the FLSA and § 9212(e) the MWHHA.

4 37. At all times relevant to this action, Ms. XUAN was employed by DEFENDANTS within
5 the meaning of § 203(g) of the FLSA and § 9212(d) of the MWHHA.

6 38. At all times relevant to this action, Ms. XUAN was, in each work week for
7 DEFENDANTS, engaged in commerce within the meaning of § 203(b) of the FLSA.

8 39. At all times relevant to this action, DEFENDANTS were employers of Ms. XUAN within
9 the meaning of § 203(d) of the FLSA and § 9212(f) of the MWHHA.

10 40. At all times while employed by DEFENDANTS, Ms. XUAN was employed, and actually
11 performing work, in job category that is not exempt from the provisions of the FLSA and/or the
12 MWHHA.

13 41. At all times relevant to this action, Ms. XUAN was employed by DEFENDANTS in
14 Saipan, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

15 42. At all times relevant to this action, DEFENDANTS as a single business enterprise had
16 gross revenues in each year of operation in excess of \$500,000.

17 43. Through the operations of their tour business and water sports business in the CNMI for
18 tourists solicited by DEFENDANTS from South Korea, DEFENDANTS were an enterprise engaged
19 in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of §§ 203 (r)(1) &
20 (s)(1) of the FLSA.

21 44. From June 2008 through October 2012, Plaintiff worked for DEFENDANTS in excess
22 of 18 hours per day, seven days per week with the exception of one period from June 10, 2011 to July
23 11, 2011 when she had her only vacation.

24 45. From June 2009 through July 2012, DEFENDANTS paid Plaintiff \$800 per month for
25 her services, with the exception of a one month period from June 10, 2012 through July 11, 2012
26

1 during which time Ms. XUAN was on unpaid vacation.

2 46. From about August 2012 through her termination in October 2012, DEFENDANTS paid
3 Plaintiff \$1,000 per month for her services.

4 47. DEFENDANTS failed to pay Ms. XUAN all minimum wages required by § 206(a) of the
5 FLSA, by § 9221(a)(2) of the MWHHA, and by Ms. XUAN's Employment Contract.

6 48. DEFENDANTS failed to pay Ms. XUAN overtime wages required by § 207(a) of the
7 FLSA, by § 9222 of the MWHHA, and by Ms. XUAN's Employment Contract.

8 49. DEFENDANTS failed to maintain full and accurate records of the hours actually worked
9 by Ms. XUAN as required by § 215(a)(5) of the FLSA and § 9232(a) of the MWHHA.

10 50. All of the actions and omissions alleged above were undertaken by DEFENDANTS, as
11 a single business enterprise, either directly or through their owners, co-owners, officers, directors,
12 managers, or agents.

13 51. All of the actions and omissions alleged above were willful within the meaning of § 9243
14 of the MWHHA, and with regard to the applicability of the statute of limitations on FLSA claims.

15 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
16 (FLSA Violation - Unpaid Minimum Wage)

17 52. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 51 above as if fully set forth herein.

18 53. DEFENDANTS have violated the provisions of § 206(a) of the FLSA by failing to pay
19 Ms. XUAN the applicable minimum wage per hour for all of the hours worked by Ms. XUAN in each
20 work week for which she performed services for DEFENDANTS.

21 54. Ms. XUAN is entitled to payment of unpaid minimum wages from DEFENDANTS,
22 jointly and severally, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages.

23 55. Ms. XUAN is also entitled to costs of the action and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant
24 to § 216(b) of the FLSA.

1 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
2 (MWHHA Violation - Unpaid Minimum Wage)

3 56. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 55 above as if fully set forth herein.

4 57. DEFENDANTS have violated the provisions of § 9221(a)(2) of the MWHHA by failing
5 to pay Ms. XUAN the applicable minimum wage per hour for all of the hours worked by Ms. XUAN
6 in each work week for which she performed services for DEFENDANTS.

7 58. Ms. XUAN is entitled to payment of unpaid minimum wages from DEFENDANTS,
8 jointly and severally, and an additional equal amount as liquidated damages for DEFENDANTS'
9 willful violation.

10 59. Ms. XUAN is also entitled to costs of the action and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant
11 to § 9244(b) of the MWHHA.

12 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
13 (FLSA Violation - Unpaid Overtime Compensation)

14 60. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 59 above as if fully set forth herein.

15 61. DEFENDANTS have violated the provisions of § 207(a) of the FLSA by failing to pay
16 Ms. XUAN overtime compensation in the amount of one and one-half times her regular pay rate for
17 all of the hours worked by Ms. XUAN in excess of forty (40) hours in each work week.

18 62. Ms. XUAN is entitled to payment of unpaid overtime compensation from
19 DEFENDANTS, jointly and severally, and an additional equal amount as liquidated damages.

20 63. Ms. XUAN is also entitled to costs of the action and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant
21 to § 216(b) of the FLSA.

22 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
23 (MWHHA Violation - Unpaid Overtime Compensation)

24 64. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 55 above as if fully set forth herein.

25 65. DEFENDANTS have violated the provisions of § 9222 of the MWHHA by failing to pay
26 Ms. XUAN overtime compensation in the amount of one and one-half times her regular pay rate for
27 all of the hours worked by Ms. XUAN in excess of forty (40) hours in each work week.

1 66. Ms. XUAN is entitled to payment of unpaid overtime compensation from
2 DEFENDANTS, jointly and severally, and an additional equal amount as liquidated damages for
3 DEFENDANTS' willful violation.

4 67. Ms. XUAN is also entitled to costs of the action and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant
5 to § 9244(b) of the MWA.

6 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
7 (Breach of Contract)

8 68. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 67 above as if fully set forth herein.

9 69. From the commencement of her employment with DEFENDANTS in June 2009 through
10 December 20, 2010, Ms. XUAN had a valid and binding Employment Contract with
11 DEFENDANTS.

12 70. One of the terms of Plaintiff's Employment Contract with DEFENDANTS is that
13 DEFENDANTS pay Plaintiff the applicable minimum wage per hour for all of the hours Plaintiff
14 worked for DEFENDANTS each week of her employment with DEFENDANTS.

15 71. Another of the terms of Plaintiff's Employment Contract with DEFENDANTS is that
16 DEFENDANTS pay Plaintiff overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours
17 each week of her employment.

18 72. Pursuant to the Employment Contract, said overtime compensation was to be paid at 1.5
19 times her regular rate by check in bi-weekly intervals.

20 73. By failing and refusing to pay Ms. XUAN her minimum wage, and overtime compensation
21 for all of the hours Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours in each week of her employment, and
22 by failing to pay such amounts by check in bi-weekly intervals, DEFENDANTS materially breached
23 the Employment Contract.


24 74. Because of DEFENDANTS's material breach of the Employment Contract, Ms. XUAN
25 is entitled to expectation damages, incidental and consequential damages, costs and reasonable
26 attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF:

Accordingly, Ms. XUAN prays for the following relief:

1. That DEFENDANTS, jointly and severally, pay Plaintiff the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked by Plaintiff for DEFENDANTS during the first forty (40) hours in each work week;
2. That DEFENDANTS, jointly and severally, pay Plaintiff her overtime compensation for all hours worked by Plaintiff for DEFENDANTS in excess of forty (40) hours in each work week;
3. That DEFENDANTS, jointly and severally, pay Plaintiff expectation, incidental and consequential damages for Defendant's material breach of the Employment Contract;
4. That DEFENDANTS, jointly and severally, pay Plaintiff an equal amount as liquidated damages;
5. That DEFENDANTS, jointly and severally, pay Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of this action.

DATED this 20th day of December, 2012.




MARK B. HANSON

Second Floor, Macaranas Building
Beach Road, Garapan
PMB 738, P.O. Box 10,000
Saipan, MP 96950
Telephone: (670) 233-8600
Facsimile: (670) 233-5262
E-Mail Address: mark@saipanlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Yu Xuan

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT

I, YU XUAN, hereby certify that the forgoing Verified Complaint has been translated to me from English into my native language, Mandarin Chinese, and that I have read and understood the allegations and claims made herein and that I consent to be a party plaintiff herein. I further certify that the factual statements made herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that I verified the accuracy of the above Verified Complaint this 20th day of December, 2012.



YU XUAN
Plaintiff

I, Minbo Cho, hereby certify that I speak and read Mandarin Chinese fluently and that I have translated the foregoing Verified Complaint to Plaintiff YU XUAN clearly and accurately to the best of my abilities on this 20th day of December, 2012.



MINBO CHO
Translator